



ORE RESEARCH & EXPLORATION P/L ABN 28 006 859 856
37A Hosie Street · Bayswater North · VIC 3153 · AUSTRALIA
☎ 61 3 9729 0333 ☎ 61 3 9729 8338
📧 info@ore.com.au 🌐 www.ore.com.au

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS FOR

High Sulphidation Epithermal Ag-Cu-Au Ore

(Mt Carlton, Queensland, Australia)

CERTIFIED REFERENCE MATERIAL

OREAS 602b

Summary Statistics for Key Analytes.

Constituent	Certified Value	1SD	95% Confidence Limits		95% Tolerance Limits	
			Low	High	Low	High
Pb Fire Assay						
Ag, Silver (ppm)	118	4	116	120	115	121
Au, Gold (ppm)	2.29	0.094	2.25	2.33	2.28*	2.30*
4-Acid Digestion						
Ag, Silver (ppm)	119	4	118	121	118	121
Cu, Copper (wt.%)	0.496	0.010	0.492	0.501	0.490	0.502

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt.% \equiv 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

*Gold Tolerance Limits for typical 25-50g fire assay method is determined from 20 x 85mg INAA results and the Sampling Constant (Ingamells & Switzer, 1973).

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.



Document: COA-1399-OREAS602b-R0

(Template:BUP-70-10-01 Rev:2.0)

21-February-2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	7
SOURCE MATERIAL.....	7
COMMUNITION AND HOMOGENISATION PROCEDURES	8
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES	8
ANALYTICAL PROGRAM.....	8
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS.....	9
Homogeneity Evaluation	11
Performance Gates	13
PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES.....	16
PREPARER AND SUPPLIER.....	20
METROLOGICAL TRACEABILITY	20
COMMUTABILITY	20
INTENDED USE	21
STABILITY AND STORAGE INSTRUCTIONS	21
INSTRUCTIONS FOR CORRECT USE.....	21
HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS.....	21
LEGAL NOTICE	21
DOCUMENT HISTORY	21
QMS ACCREDITED	22
CERTIFYING OFFICER.....	22
REFERENCES	22

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Certified Values, SDs, 95% Confidence & Tolerance Limits for OREAS 602b.....	3
Table 2. Indicative Values for OREAS 602b.	6
Table 3. Physical properties of OREAS 602b.	8
Table 4. Neutron Activation Analysis of Au (in ppm) on 20 x 85mg subsamples	11
Table 5. Performance Gates for OREAS 602b.	13

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. 'Au' (ppm) by Pb Fire Assay in OREAS 602b	17
Figure 2. 'Ag' (ppm) by 4-acid digestion in OREAS 602b	18
Figure 3. 'Cu' (wt.%) by 4-acid digestion in OREAS 602b	19

Table 1. Certified Values, SDs, 95% Confidence & Tolerance Limits for OREAS 602b.

Constituent	Certified Value	SD	95% Confidence Limits		95% Tolerance Limits	
			Low	High	Low	High
Pb Fire Assay						
Ag, Silver (ppm)	118	4	116	120	115	121
Au, Gold (ppm)	2.29	0.094	2.25	2.33	2.28*	2.30*
Aqua Regia Digestion (sample weights 10-50g)						
Au, Gold (ppm)	2.27	0.083	2.21	2.33	2.26*	2.28*
Infrared Combustion						
S, Sulphur (wt.%)	2.15	0.092	2.11	2.19	2.10	2.20
4-Acid Digestion						
Ag, Silver (ppm)	119	4	118	121	118	121
Al, Aluminium (wt.%)	5.38	0.169	5.31	5.45	5.30	5.47
As, Arsenic (ppm)	874	47	852	896	856	891
Be, Beryllium (ppm)	1.69	0.20	1.59	1.80	1.56	1.83
Bi, Bismuth (ppm)	58	4.3	56	60	56	59
Ca, Calcium (wt.%)	0.655	0.027	0.643	0.666	0.642	0.667
Cd, Cadmium (ppm)	4.89	0.290	4.75	5.04	4.76	5.02
Ce, Cerium (ppm)	51	4.7	49	54	50	53
Co, Cobalt (ppm)	5.08	0.243	4.97	5.19	4.85	5.31
Cr, Chromium (ppm)	33.5	4.1	31.6	35.3	31.0	35.9
Cs, Cesium (ppm)	3.64	0.171	3.55	3.72	3.53	3.75
Cu, Copper (wt.%)	0.496	0.010	0.492	0.501	0.490	0.502
Dy, Dysprosium (ppm)	2.06	0.25	1.79	2.33	1.93	2.20
Er, Erbium (ppm)	0.68	0.065	0.60	0.75	IND	IND
Eu, Europium (ppm)	0.80	0.14	0.65	0.96	IND	IND
Fe, Iron (wt.%)	2.52	0.085	2.49	2.56	2.47	2.57
Ga, Gallium (ppm)	24.3	1.50	23.6	25.0	23.6	25.0
Gd, Gadolinium (ppm)	3.12	0.238	2.85	3.40	2.94	3.31
Ge, Germanium (ppm)	0.16	0.03	0.12	0.20	IND	IND
Hf, Hafnium (ppm)	4.21	0.273	4.07	4.35	4.08	4.34
Ho, Holmium (ppm)	0.29	0.018	0.27	0.31	IND	IND
In, Indium (ppm)	1.50	0.091	1.45	1.54	1.45	1.55
K, Potassium (wt.%)	1.78	0.038	1.77	1.80	1.75	1.81
La, Lanthanum (ppm)	23.5	2.15	22.4	24.5	22.6	24.3
Li, Lithium (ppm)	20.3	1.45	19.7	20.9	19.8	20.8
Lu, Lutetium (ppb)	62.5	11.2	42.9	82.1	IND	IND
Mg, Magnesium (ppm)	759	53	737	781	742	776
Mn, Manganese (ppm)	188	7	185	191	182	193

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt.% \equiv 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

*Gold Tolerance Limits for typical 25-50g fire assay and 15-40g aqua regia digestion methods are determined from 20 x 85mg INAA results and the Sampling Constant (Ingamells & Switzer, 1973).

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

Table 1 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	SD	95% Confidence Limits		95% Tolerance Limits	
			Low	High	Low	High
4-Acid Digestion continued						
Mo, Molybdenum (ppm)	7.45	0.327	7.31	7.59	7.06	7.84
Na, Sodium (wt.%)	1.40	0.065	1.37	1.42	1.36	1.43
Nb, Niobium (ppm)	12.0	0.72	11.7	12.4	11.6	12.4
Nd, Neodymium (ppm)	21.8	1.86	19.5	24.1	20.8	22.8
Ni, Nickel (ppm)	14.2	0.82	13.8	14.6	13.7	14.8
P, Phosphorus (ppm)	279	21	269	288	269	289
Pb, Lead (ppm)	493	19	485	501	482	504
Pr, Praseodymium (ppm)	6.52	0.450	5.98	7.06	6.14	6.89
Rb, Rubidium (ppm)	72	3.3	71	74	70	74
S, Sulphur (wt.%)	2.13	0.060	2.10	2.15	2.09	2.17
Sb, Antimony (ppm)	105	8	101	108	102	108
Sc, Scandium (ppm)	3.56	0.182	3.46	3.66	3.39	3.73
Se, Selenium (ppm)	24.1	2.5	22.9	25.3	23.0	25.3
Sm, Samarium (ppm)	4.26	0.50	3.72	4.80	4.06	4.45
Sn, Tin (ppm)	8.96	0.391	8.75	9.18	8.66	9.27
Sr, Strontium (ppm)	260	13	254	266	254	266
Ta, Tantalum (ppm)	0.93	0.043	0.91	0.96	0.90	0.97
Tb, Terbium (ppm)	0.43	0.04	0.39	0.46	0.41	0.44
Te, Tellurium (ppm)	34.4	2.64	33.1	35.7	33.6	35.2
Th, Thorium (ppm)	9.11	0.900	8.61	9.61	8.77	9.45
Ti, Titanium (wt.%)	0.149	0.009	0.146	0.153	0.146	0.153
Tl, Thallium (ppm)	2.17	0.162	2.08	2.25	2.09	2.24
U, Uranium (ppm)	3.80	0.216	3.69	3.91	3.67	3.92
V, Vanadium (ppm)	15.8	1.10	15.3	16.3	15.2	16.3
W, Tungsten (ppm)	13.8	0.90	13.4	14.2	13.3	14.4
Y, Yttrium (ppm)	8.69	0.350	8.54	8.84	8.46	8.92
Yb, Ytterbium (ppm)	0.51	0.07	0.43	0.59	0.45	0.57
Zn, Zinc (ppm)	764	24	755	773	746	782
Zr, Zirconium (ppm)	149	8	145	152	145	153
Aqua Regia Digestion						
Ag, Silver (ppm)	119	3	118	120	118	121
Al, Aluminium (wt.%)	0.546	0.019	0.537	0.555	0.535	0.557
As, Arsenic (ppm)	857	43	838	876	843	871
Be, Beryllium (ppm)	0.34	0.04	0.32	0.36	0.30	0.38
Bi, Bismuth (ppm)	57	3.4	55	58	55	59
Ca, Calcium (wt.%)	0.418	0.015	0.412	0.425	0.408	0.428

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt.% \equiv 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

Table 1 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	SD	95% Confidence Limits		95% Tolerance Limits	
			Low	High	Low	High
Aqua Regia Digestion continued						
Cd, Cadmium (ppm)	4.93	0.279	4.78	5.07	4.79	5.06
Ce, Cerium (ppm)	28.4	1.57	27.5	29.3	27.4	29.4
Co, Cobalt (ppm)	4.78	0.225	4.69	4.87	4.54	5.01
Cr, Chromium (ppm)	35.3	3.34	33.8	36.8	34.1	36.4
Cs, Cesium (ppm)	0.83	0.045	0.80	0.85	0.80	0.85
Cu, Copper (wt.%)	0.495	0.015	0.489	0.502	0.487	0.504
Fe, Iron (wt.%)	2.26	0.110	2.21	2.31	2.22	2.31
Ga, Gallium (ppm)	4.47	0.434	4.22	4.72	4.26	4.68
Ge, Germanium (ppm)	0.11	0.02	0.09	0.14	IND	IND
Hf, Hafnium (ppm)	0.91	0.083	0.86	0.96	0.87	0.95
Hg, Mercury (ppm)	0.48	0.034	0.46	0.50	0.45	0.52
In, Indium (ppm)	1.43	0.063	1.40	1.46	1.39	1.47
K, Potassium (wt.%)	0.182	0.012	0.176	0.188	0.175	0.190
La, Lanthanum (ppm)	14.4	0.85	14.0	14.8	14.0	14.8
Li, Lithium (ppm)	6.04	0.61	5.73	6.36	5.84	6.25
Mg, Magnesium (ppm)	340	50	320	361	329	352
Mn, Manganese (ppm)	163	8	159	166	160	166
Mo, Molybdenum (ppm)	7.11	0.348	6.94	7.29	6.85	7.38
Na, Sodium (wt.%)	0.052	0.008	0.048	0.055	0.050	0.053
Ni, Nickel (ppm)	14.1	0.94	13.7	14.6	13.6	14.7
P, Phosphorus (ppm)	139	8	135	143	130	148
Pb, Lead (ppm)	378	21	368	387	370	385
Rb, Rubidium (ppm)	8.65	0.614	8.26	9.03	8.34	8.95
S, Sulphur (wt.%)	1.56	0.081	1.53	1.60	1.53	1.60
Sb, Antimony (ppm)	86	9	82	90	83	89
Sc, Scandium (ppm)	0.93	0.10	0.88	0.98	0.89	0.97
Se, Selenium (ppm)	23.6	1.82	22.6	24.6	22.4	24.9
Sn, Tin (ppm)	6.98	0.240	6.87	7.09	6.71	7.25
Sr, Strontium (ppm)	33.9	2.93	32.4	35.4	32.6	35.3
Te, Tellurium (ppm)	35.5	1.51	34.7	36.3	34.4	36.5
Th, Thorium (ppm)	5.15	0.64	4.79	5.51	4.99	5.31
Ti, Titanium (ppm)	163	16	153	173	157	169
Tl, Thallium (ppm)	1.89	0.149	1.81	1.97	1.83	1.95
U, Uranium (ppm)	1.72	0.097	1.66	1.77	1.66	1.78
V, Vanadium (ppm)	5.01	0.57	4.75	5.27	4.79	5.23
W, Tungsten (ppm)	5.82	0.543	5.56	6.09	5.38	6.27

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt.% \equiv 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

Table 1 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	SD	95% Confidence Limits		95% Tolerance Limits	
			Low	High	Low	High
Aqua Regia Digestion continued						
Y, Yttrium (ppm)	4.00	0.303	3.85	4.16	3.89	4.11
Yb, Ytterbium (ppm)	0.17	0.03	0.15	0.20	IND	IND
Zn, Zinc (ppm)	722	29	709	734	707	737
Zr, Zirconium (ppm)	30.8	5.9	28.1	33.6	29.7	32.0

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt.% \equiv 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

Table 2. Indicative Values for OREAS 602b.

Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value
Pb Fire Assay								
Pd	ppb	< 3	Pt	ppb	< 5			
Infrared Combustion								
C	wt.%	0.118						
4-Acid Digestion								
Au	ppm	2.29	Pd	ppb	< 50	Ru	ppb	< 10
Ba	ppm	458	Pt	ppb	< 10	Tm	ppb	84.2
Hg	ppm	< 2	Re	ppb	1.86			
Ir	ppb	< 5	Rh	ppb	< 10			
Aqua Regia Digestion								
B	ppm	< 10	Ir	ppb	< 5	Re	ppb	1.64
Ba	ppm	267	Lu	ppb	24.1	Rh	ppb	13.7
Dy	ppm	0.96	Nb	ppm	0.91	Ru	ppb	< 10
Er	ppm	0.24	Nd	ppm	11.3	Sm	ppm	2.22
Eu	ppm	0.39	Pd	ppb	< 10	Ta	ppm	< 0.01
Gd	ppm	1.74	Pr	ppm	3.30	Tb	ppm	0.22
Ho	ppm	0.13	Pt	ppb	< 5	Tm	ppb	27.5
Borate Fusion XRF								
Al ₂ O ₃	wt.%	10.41	MgO	wt.%	0.130	SiO ₂	wt.%	74.14
CaO	wt.%	0.900	MnO	wt.%	0.030	SO ₃	wt.%	5.43
Fe ₂ O ₃	wt.%	3.66	Na ₂ O	wt.%	1.90	TiO ₂	wt.%	0.245
K ₂ O	wt.%	2.17	P ₂ O ₅	wt.%	0.063			
Thermogravimetry								
H ₂ O-	wt.%	0.542	LOI ¹⁰⁰⁰	wt.%	4.71			
Laser Ablation ICP-MS								
Ag	ppm	121	Hf	ppm	5.05	Sm	ppm	4.27
As	ppm	844	Ho	ppm	0.31	Sn	ppm	9.40
Ba	ppm	6230	In	ppm	1.35	Sr	ppm	268
Be	ppm	1.80	La	ppm	28.5	Ta	ppm	0.96
Bi	ppm	60	Lu	ppb	70.0	Tb	ppm	0.44
Cd	ppm	5.75	Mn	ppm	190	Te	ppm	38.0

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt.% \equiv 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note: the number of significant figures reported is not a reflection of the level of certainty of stated values. They are instead an artefact of ORE's in-house CRM-specific LIMS.

Table 2 continued.

Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value
Laser Ablation ICP-MS continued								
Ce	ppm	54	Mo	ppm	7.60	Th	ppm	10.1
Co	ppm	5.25	Nb	ppm	12.5	Ti	wt. %	0.158
Cr	ppm	45.0	Nd	ppm	22.3	Tl	ppm	2.30
Cs	ppm	3.65	Ni	ppm	21.0	Tm	ppb	95.0
Cu	wt. %	0.470	Pb	ppm	497	U	ppm	3.92
Dy	ppm	1.97	Pr	ppm	6.15	V	ppm	15.1
Er	ppm	0.67	Rb	ppm	71	W	ppm	13.8
Eu	ppm	0.68	Re	ppb	22.5	Y	ppm	8.90
Ga	ppm	22.9	Sb	ppm	114	Yb	ppm	0.51
Gd	ppm	3.04	Sc	ppm	5.55	Zn	ppm	720
Ge	ppm	2.65	Se	ppm	< 5	Zr	ppm	189

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt. % \equiv 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note: the number of significant figures reported is not a reflection of the level of certainty of stated values. They are instead an artefact of ORE's in-house CRM-specific LIMS.

INTRODUCTION

OREAS reference materials are intended to provide a low cost method of evaluating and improving the quality of analysis of geological samples. To the geologist they provide a means of implementing quality control in analytical data sets generated in exploration from the grass roots level through to prospect evaluation, and in grade control at mining operations. To the analyst they provide an effective means of calibrating analytical equipment, assessing new techniques and routinely monitoring in-house procedures.

OREAS reference materials enable users to successfully achieve process control of these tasks because the observed variance from repeated analysis has its origin almost exclusively in the analytical process rather than the reference material itself.

SOURCE MATERIAL

OREAS 602b was prepared from a blend of silver-copper-gold bearing ores from Evolution Mining's Mount Carlton Operation in Queensland, Australia and argillic rhyodacite waste rock sourced from a quarry east of Melbourne, Australia. Small quantities of copper concentrate (Sepon, Laos) and copper-gold concentrate (Mount Carlton) were added to help achieve the desired copper and gold grades.

The mineralisation assemblage at Mount Carlton consists of pyrite, enargite/tennantite, tetrahedrite, digenite, covellite, sphalerite, galena, alunite, dickite, kaolinite and vuggy silica, hosted in advanced argillic altered rhyodacite containing sulphur-salts.

OREAS 602b is one of a suite of six CRMs developed from Mount Carlton ores ranging in grades from 25 -1015ppm Ag, 0.2 -1.7ppm Au and 0.05 - 5.0% Cu.

COMMINUTION AND HOMOGENISATION PROCEDURES

The material constituting OREAS 602b was prepared in the following manner:

- Drying of ore materials (sulphide-rich) to constant mass at 85°C;
- Drying of rhyodacite waste rock to constant mass at 105°C;
- Crushing and milling of the ore materials to 100% minus 30 microns;
- Crushing and milling of the rhyodacite waste rock to 98% minus 75 microns;
- Blending in appropriate proportions to achieve the desired grades;
- Packaging under nitrogen in 10g and 60g units in laminated foil pouches.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

OREAS 602b was tested at ORE Research & Exploration Pty Ltd's onsite laboratory for various physical properties. Table 3 presents these findings which should be used for informational purposes only.

Table 3. Physical properties of OREAS 602b.

CRM Name	Bulk Density (g/L)	Moisture%	Munsell Notation [‡]	Munsell Color [‡]
OREAS 602b	682	0.53	N8	Very Light Gray

[‡]The Munsell Rock Color Chart helps geologists and archeologists communicate with color more effectively by cross-referencing ISCC-NBS color names with unique Munsell alpha-numeric color notations for rock color samples.

ANALYTICAL PROGRAM

Twenty seven commercial analytical laboratories participated in the program to certify the elements reported in Table 1. The following methods were employed:

- Silver via 30-50g fire assay with gravimetric finish (15 laboratories);
- Gold via 25-50g fire assay with AAS finish (14 laboratories) and ICP-OES (10 laboratories) finish;
- Gold via 10-40g aqua regia digestion with ICP-MS finish (9 laboratories) and AAS (3 laboratories) finish;
- Sulphur by infra-red combustion analysis (19 laboratories);
- 4-Acid digestion for full elemental suite ICP-OES/MS finish (up to 22 laboratories depending on the element).
- Aqua regia digestion for full elemental suite ICP-OES finish (up to 22 laboratories depending on the element) and AAS finish (1 laboratory);
- Gold by instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA) on 20 x 85mg subsamples to confirm homogeneity (ANSTO, Lucas Heights).

It is important to note that in the analytical industry there is no standardisation of the aqua regia digestion process. Aqua regia is a partial empirical digest and differences in recoveries for various analytes are commonplace. These are caused by variations in the digest conditions which can include the ratio of nitric to hydrochloric acids, acid strength,

temperatures, leach times and secondary digestions. Recoveries for sulphide-hosted base metal sulphides approach total values, however, other analytes, in particular the lithophile elements, show greater sensitivity to method parameters. This can result in lack of consensus in an inter-laboratory certification program for these elements.

The approach applied here is to report certified values in those instances where reasonable agreement exists amongst a majority of participating laboratories. The results of specific laboratories may differ significantly from the certified values, but will, nonetheless, be valid and reproducible in the context of the specifics of the aqua regia method in use. Users of this reference material should, therefore, be mindful of this limitation when applying the certified values in a quality control program.

For the round robin program twenty 1kg test units were taken at predetermined intervals during the bagging stage, immediately following homogenisation and are considered representative of the entire prepared batch. Six 100g pulp samples were submitted to each laboratory for analysis received by each laboratory were obtained by taking two 100g samples from each of three separate 1kg test units. This format enabled nested ANOVA treatment of the results to evaluate homogeneity, i.e. to ascertain whether between-unit variance is greater than within-unit variance.

Table 1 presents the 107 certified values together with their associated 1SD's, 95% confidence and tolerance limits, Table 2 shows 98 indicative values for major and trace element composition. Gold homogeneity has been evaluated and confirmed by instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA) on twenty ~85mg sample portions (see Table 4 below) and by a nested ANOVA program for both fire assay and aqua regia digestion (see '**nested ANOVA**' section).

Table 5 provides performance gate intervals for the certified values based on their pooled 1SD's. Tabulated results of all elements together with uncorrected means, medians, standard deviations, relative standard deviations and per cent deviation of lab means from the corrected mean of means (PDM³) are presented in the detailed certification data for this CRM (**OREAS 602b DataPack-1.0.190219_135116.xlsx**).

Results are also presented in scatter plots for gold by fire assay, silver by 4-acid digestion and copper by 4-acid digestion (Figures 1 to 3, respectively) together with $\pm 3SD$ (magenta) and $\pm 5\%$ (yellow) control lines and certified value (green line). Accepted individual results are coloured blue and individual and dataset outliers are identified in red and violet, respectively.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Certified Values, Confidence Limits, Standard Deviations and Tolerance Limits (Table 1) have been determined for each analyte following removal of individual, laboratory dataset (batch) and 3SD outliers (single iteration).

For individual outliers within a laboratory batch the z-score test is used in combination with a second method that determines the per cent deviation of the individual value from the batch median. Outliers in general are selected on the basis of z-scores > 2.5 and with per cent deviations (i) > 3 and (ii) more than three times the average absolute per cent deviation for the batch. In certain instances statistician's prerogative has been employed in discriminating outliers.

Each laboratory data set mean is tested for outlying status based on z-score discrimination and rejected if > 2.5 . After individual and laboratory data set (batch) outliers have been eliminated a non-iterative 3 standard deviation filter is applied, with those values lying outside this window also relegated to outlying status.

Certified Values are the means of accepted laboratory means after outlier filtering. The INAA data (see Table 4) is omitted from determination of the certified value for Au and is used solely for the calculation of Tolerance Limits and homogeneity evaluation of OREAS 602b.

95% Confidence Limits are inversely proportional to the number of participating laboratories and inter-laboratory agreement. It is a measure of the reliability of the certified value. A 95% confidence interval indicates a 95% probability that the true value of the analyte under consideration lies between the upper and lower limits. *95% Confidence Limits should not be used as control limits for laboratory performance.*

Indicative (uncertified) values (Table 2) are provided for the major and trace elements determined by borate fusion XRF (Al_2O_3 to TiO_2), laser ablation with ICP-MS (Ag to Zr), LOI at 1000°C and C by infrared combustion furnace and are the means of duplicate assays from Bureau Veritas, Perth. Additional indicative values by other analytical methods are present where the number of laboratories reporting a particular analyte is insufficient (< 5) to support certification or where inter-laboratory consensus is poor.

Standard Deviation values (1SDs) are reported in Table 1. They provide an indication of a level of performance that might reasonably be expected from a laboratory being monitored by this CRM in a QA/QC program. They take into account errors attributable to measurement uncertainty and CRM variability. For an effective CRM the contribution of the latter should be negligible in comparison to measurement errors. The Standard Deviation values include all sources of measurement uncertainty: between-lab variance, within-run variance (precision errors) and CRM variability.

The SD for each analyte's certified value is calculated from the same filtered data set used to determine the certified value, i.e. after removal of all individual, lab dataset (batch) and 3SD outliers (single iteration). These outliers can only be removed after the absolute homogeneity of the CRM has been independently established, i.e. the outliers must be confidently deemed to be analytical rather than arising from inhomogeneity of the CRM. **The standard deviation is then calculated for each analyte from the pooled accepted analyses generated from the certification program.**

In the application of SD's in monitoring performance it is important to note that not all laboratories function at the same level of proficiency and that different methods in use at a particular laboratory have differing levels of precision. Each laboratory has its own inherent SD (for a specific concentration level and analyte-method pair) based on the analytical process and this SD is not directly related to the round robin program.

The majority of data generated in the round robin program was produced by a selection of world class laboratories. The SD's thus generated are more constrained than those that would be produced across a randomly selected group of laboratories. To produce more generally achievable SD's the 'pooled' SD's provided in this report include inter-lab bias. This 'one size fits all' approach may require revision at the discretion of the QC manager concerned following careful scrutiny of QC control charts.

Homogeneity Evaluation

The tolerance limits (ISO 16269:2014) shown in Table 1 were determined using an analysis of precision errors method and are considered a conservative estimate of true homogeneity. The meaning of tolerance limits may be illustrated for copper by 4-acid digestion, where 99% of the time ($1-\alpha=0.99$) at least 95% of subsamples ($\rho=0.95$) will have concentrations lying between 0.490 and 0.502 wt.%. Put more precisely, this means that if the same number of subsamples were taken and analysed in the same manner repeatedly, 99% of the tolerance intervals so constructed would cover at least 95% of the total population, and 1% of the tolerance intervals would cover less than 95% of the total population (ISO Guide 35). **Please note that tolerance limits pertain to the homogeneity of the CRM only and should not be used as control limits for laboratory performance.**

Table 4 below shows the INAA data determined on 20 x 85mg subsamples of OREAS 602b. An equivalent scaled version of the results is also provided to demonstrate an appreciation of what this data means if 30g fire assay determinations were undertaken without the normal measurement error associated with this methodology.

Table 4. Neutron Activation Analysis of Au (in ppm) on 20 x 85mg subsamples showing the equivalent results scaled to a 30g sample mass typical of fire assay determination.

Replicate No	Au 85mg actual	Au 30g equivalent*
1	2.363	2.337
2	2.264	2.331
3	2.303	2.333
4	2.366	2.337
5	2.377	2.337
6	2.366	2.337
7	2.275	2.332
8	2.337	2.335
9	2.364	2.337
10	2.386	2.338
11	2.252	2.331
12	2.298	2.333
13	2.359	2.336
14	2.285	2.332
15	2.256	2.331
16	2.368	2.337
17	2.404	2.339
18	2.414	2.339
19	2.324	2.334
20	2.342	2.335
Mean	2.335	2.335
Median	2.351	2.336
Std Dev.	0.050	0.003
Rel.Std.Dev.	2.14%	0.114%

*Results calculated for a 30g equivalent sample mass using the formula: $x^{30g Eq} = \frac{(x^{INAA} - \bar{X}) \times RSD@30g}{RSD@85mg} + \bar{X}$

where $x^{30g Eq}$ = equivalent result calculated for a 30g sample mass

(x^{INAA}) = raw INAA result at 85mg

\bar{X} = mean of 85mg INAA results

The homogeneity of gold has been determined by INAA using the reduced analytical subsample method which utilises the known relationship between standard deviation and analytical subsample weight (Ingamells and Switzer, 1973). In this approach the sample aliquot is substantially reduced to a point where most of the variability in replicate assays should be due to inhomogeneity of the reference material and measurement error becomes negligible. In this instance a subsample weight of 85 milligrams was employed and the 1RSD of 0.114% calculated for a 30g fire assay or aqua regia sample (2.14% at 85mg weights) confirms the high level of gold homogeneity in OREAS 602b.

The homogeneity of OREAS 602b has also been evaluated in a **nested ANOVA** of the round robin program. Each of the twenty-seven round robin laboratories received six samples per CRM and these samples were made up of paired samples from three different, non-adjacent sampling intervals. The purpose of the ANOVA evaluation is to test that no statistically significant difference exists in the variance between-units to that of the variance within-units. This allows an assessment of homogeneity across the entire prepared batch of OREAS 602b. The test was performed using the following parameters:

- Gold fire assay – 144 samples (24 laboratories each providing analyses on 3 pairs of samples);
- Gold aqua regia digestion – 72 samples (12 laboratories each providing analyses on 3 pairs of samples);
- Null Hypothesis, H_0 : Between-unit variance is no greater than within-unit variance (reject H_0 if p -value < 0.05);
- Alternative Hypothesis, H_1 : Between-unit variance is greater than within-unit variance.

P -values are a measure of probability where values less than 0.05 indicate a greater than 95% probability that the observed differences in within-unit and between-unit variances are real. The datasets were filtered for both individual and laboratory data set (batch) outliers prior to the calculation of p -values. This process derived p -values of 0.247 for Au by fire assay and 0.987 for Au by aqua regia digestion. Both p -values are insignificant and the Null Hypothesis is retained. Additionally, none of the other certified values showed significant p -values. Please note that only results for constituents present in concentrations well above the detection levels (i.e. $>20 \times$ Lower Limit of Detection) for the various methods undertaken were considered for the objective of evaluating homogeneity.

It is important to note that ANOVA is not an absolute measure of homogeneity. Rather, it establishes whether or not the analytes are distributed in a similar manner throughout the packaging run of OREAS 602b and whether the variance between two subsamples from the same unit is statistically distinguishable to the variance from two subsamples taken from any two separate units. A reference material therefore, can possess poor absolute homogeneity yet still pass a relative homogeneity test if the within-unit heterogeneity is large and similar across all units.

Based on the statistical analysis of the results of the inter-laboratory certification program it can be concluded that OREAS 602b is fit-for-purpose as a certified reference material (see 'Intended Use' below).

Performance Gates

Table 5 shows calculated for two and three standard deviations. As a guide these intervals may be regarded as warning or rejection for multiple 2SD outliers, or rejection for individual 3SD outliers in QC monitoring, although their precise application should be at the discretion of the QC manager concerned. A second method utilises a 5% window calculated directly from the certified value.

Standard deviation is also shown in relative percent for one, two and three relative standard deviations (1RSD, 2RSD and 3RSD) to facilitate an appreciation of the magnitude of these numbers and a comparison with the 5% window. Caution should be exercised when concentration levels approach lower limits of detection of the analytical methods employed as performance gates calculated from standard deviations tend to be excessively wide whereas those determined by the 5% method are too narrow. One approach used at commercial laboratories is to set the acceptance criteria at twice the detection level (DL) $\pm 10\%$.

i.e. Certified Value $\pm 10\% \pm 2DL$ (adapted from Govett, 1983)

Table 5. Performance Gates for OREAS 602b.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
Pb Fire Assay											
Ag, ppm	118	4	109	126	105	130	3.63%	7.27%	10.90%	112	124
Au, ppm	2.29	0.094	2.10	2.48	2.01	2.57	4.11%	8.22%	12.33%	2.18	2.40
Aqua Regia Digestion (sample weights 10-50g)											
Au, ppm	2.27	0.083	2.11	2.44	2.02	2.52	3.64%	7.28%	10.92%	2.16	2.38
Infrared Combustion											
S, wt. %	2.15	0.092	1.97	2.34	1.87	2.43	4.29%	8.58%	12.87%	2.04	2.26
4-Acid Digestion											
Ag, ppm	119	4	112	127	108	131	3.23%	6.45%	9.68%	113	125
Al, wt. %	5.38	0.169	5.04	5.72	4.87	5.89	3.14%	6.28%	9.42%	5.11	5.65
As, ppm	874	47	780	968	733	1015	5.38%	10.76%	16.14%	830	917
Be, ppm	1.69	0.20	1.29	2.10	1.09	2.30	11.94%	23.88%	35.82%	1.61	1.78
Bi, ppm	58	4.3	49	66	45	71	7.50%	14.99%	22.49%	55	61
Ca, wt. %	0.655	0.027	0.601	0.708	0.575	0.735	4.07%	8.14%	12.21%	0.622	0.687
Cd, ppm	4.89	0.290	4.31	5.47	4.02	5.76	5.93%	11.86%	17.79%	4.65	5.14
Ce, ppm	51	4.7	42	61	37	66	9.22%	18.45%	27.67%	49	54
Co, ppm	5.08	0.243	4.59	5.57	4.35	5.81	4.79%	9.58%	14.38%	4.83	5.33
Cr, ppm	33.5	4.1	25.3	41.7	21.2	45.8	12.25%	24.49%	36.74%	31.8	35.2
Cs, ppm	3.64	0.171	3.30	3.98	3.12	4.15	4.70%	9.41%	14.11%	3.46	3.82
Cu, wt. %	0.496	0.010	0.475	0.517	0.465	0.527	2.11%	4.21%	6.32%	0.471	0.521
Dy, ppm	2.06	0.25	1.55	2.57	1.30	2.83	12.35%	24.69%	37.04%	1.96	2.16
Er, ppm	0.68	0.065	0.55	0.81	0.48	0.87	9.67%	19.34%	29.01%	0.64	0.71
Eu, ppm	0.80	0.14	0.52	1.08	0.39	1.22	17.34%	34.68%	52.02%	0.76	0.84
Fe, wt. %	2.52	0.085	2.35	2.69	2.27	2.78	3.37%	6.74%	10.12%	2.39	2.65

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt. % \equiv 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

Table 5 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
4-Acid Digestion continued											
Ga, ppm	24.3	1.50	21.3	27.3	19.8	28.8	6.17%	12.33%	18.50%	23.1	25.5
Gd, ppm	3.12	0.238	2.65	3.60	2.41	3.84	7.61%	15.23%	22.84%	2.97	3.28
Ge, ppm	0.16	0.03	0.09	0.23	0.06	0.26	21.42%	42.83%	64.25%	0.15	0.17
Hf, ppm	4.21	0.273	3.66	4.76	3.39	5.03	6.49%	12.99%	19.48%	4.00	4.42
Ho, ppm	0.29	0.018	0.25	0.33	0.24	0.34	6.12%	12.24%	18.35%	0.28	0.30
In, ppm	1.50	0.091	1.32	1.68	1.23	1.77	6.07%	12.14%	18.21%	1.42	1.57
K, wt.%	1.78	0.038	1.70	1.86	1.67	1.90	2.15%	4.29%	6.44%	1.69	1.87
La, ppm	23.5	2.15	19.1	27.8	17.0	29.9	9.19%	18.38%	27.57%	22.3	24.6
Li, ppm	20.3	1.45	17.4	23.2	16.0	24.6	7.14%	14.28%	21.42%	19.3	21.3
Lu, ppb	62.5	11.2	40.0	84.9	28.8	96.2	17.97%	35.95%	53.92%	59.3	65.6
Mg, ppm	759	53	652	866	599	920	7.04%	14.09%	21.13%	721	797
Mn, ppm	188	7	174	202	167	209	3.73%	7.46%	11.19%	178	197
Mo, ppm	7.45	0.327	6.80	8.10	6.47	8.43	4.38%	8.77%	13.15%	7.08	7.82
Na, wt.%	1.40	0.065	1.27	1.53	1.20	1.59	4.63%	9.26%	13.88%	1.33	1.47
Nb, ppm	12.0	0.72	10.6	13.5	9.9	14.2	6.01%	12.02%	18.03%	11.4	12.6
Nd, ppm	21.8	1.86	18.1	25.5	16.2	27.4	8.54%	17.09%	25.63%	20.7	22.9
Ni, ppm	14.2	0.82	12.6	15.9	11.8	16.7	5.76%	11.53%	17.29%	13.5	15.0
P, ppm	279	21	238	320	217	340	7.37%	14.75%	22.12%	265	293
Pb, ppm	493	19	456	530	437	549	3.75%	7.51%	11.26%	468	518
Pr, ppm	6.52	0.450	5.62	7.42	5.17	7.87	6.91%	13.81%	20.72%	6.19	6.84
Rb, ppm	72	3.3	65	79	62	82	4.61%	9.22%	13.82%	69	76
S, wt.%	2.13	0.060	2.01	2.25	1.95	2.31	2.81%	5.63%	8.44%	2.02	2.24
Sb, ppm	105	8	90	120	82	127	7.17%	14.35%	21.52%	99	110
Sc, ppm	3.56	0.182	3.20	3.92	3.01	4.10	5.10%	10.21%	15.31%	3.38	3.74
Se, ppm	24.1	2.5	19.2	29.1	16.7	31.6	10.31%	20.62%	30.94%	22.9	25.3
Sm, ppm	4.26	0.50	3.25	5.27	2.75	5.77	11.83%	23.67%	35.50%	4.05	4.47
Sn, ppm	8.96	0.391	8.18	9.75	7.79	10.14	4.36%	8.72%	13.08%	8.52	9.41
Sr, ppm	260	13	235	285	222	298	4.88%	9.77%	14.65%	247	273
Ta, ppm	0.93	0.043	0.85	1.02	0.80	1.06	4.58%	9.17%	13.75%	0.89	0.98
Tb, ppm	0.43	0.04	0.34	0.51	0.29	0.56	10.24%	20.48%	30.72%	0.40	0.45
Te, ppm	34.4	2.64	29.1	39.7	26.5	42.3	7.66%	15.33%	22.99%	32.7	36.1
Th, ppm	9.11	0.900	7.31	10.91	6.41	11.81	9.88%	19.75%	29.63%	8.65	9.57
Ti, wt.%	0.149	0.009	0.132	0.167	0.123	0.176	5.88%	11.75%	17.63%	0.142	0.157
Tl, ppm	2.17	0.162	1.84	2.49	1.68	2.65	7.49%	14.99%	22.48%	2.06	2.28
U, ppm	3.80	0.216	3.36	4.23	3.15	4.45	5.70%	11.40%	17.09%	3.61	3.99
V, ppm	15.8	1.10	13.6	18.0	12.5	19.1	6.96%	13.93%	20.89%	15.0	16.6
W, ppm	13.8	0.90	12.0	15.6	11.1	16.5	6.51%	13.02%	19.53%	13.1	14.5
Y, ppm	8.69	0.350	7.99	9.39	7.64	9.74	4.03%	8.06%	12.09%	8.26	9.13
Yb, ppm	0.51	0.07	0.37	0.65	0.30	0.72	13.89%	27.77%	41.66%	0.48	0.53
Zn, ppm	764	24	716	813	691	837	3.18%	6.36%	9.53%	726	802
Zr, ppm	149	8	133	165	124	173	5.46%	10.91%	16.37%	141	156

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt.% \equiv 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

Table 5 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
Aqua Regia Digestion											
Ag, ppm	119	3	113	125	110	128	2.48%	4.96%	7.45%	113	125
Al, wt. %	0.546	0.019	0.507	0.585	0.488	0.604	3.56%	7.11%	10.67%	0.519	0.573
As, ppm	857	43	771	943	728	985	5.01%	10.01%	15.02%	814	900
Be, ppm	0.34	0.04	0.26	0.41	0.22	0.45	11.21%	22.41%	33.62%	0.32	0.36
Bi, ppm	57	3.4	50	64	47	67	6.00%	12.01%	18.01%	54	60
Ca, wt. %	0.418	0.015	0.389	0.448	0.374	0.462	3.54%	7.07%	10.61%	0.397	0.439
Cd, ppm	4.93	0.279	4.37	5.48	4.09	5.76	5.66%	11.31%	16.97%	4.68	5.17
Ce, ppm	28.4	1.57	25.3	31.6	23.7	33.1	5.53%	11.06%	16.59%	27.0	29.8
Co, ppm	4.78	0.225	4.33	5.23	4.10	5.45	4.72%	9.44%	14.16%	4.54	5.02
Cr, ppm	35.3	3.34	28.6	41.9	25.2	45.3	9.49%	18.97%	28.46%	33.5	37.0
Cs, ppm	0.83	0.045	0.73	0.92	0.69	0.96	5.49%	10.98%	16.47%	0.78	0.87
Cu, wt. %	0.495	0.015	0.466	0.525	0.451	0.540	2.98%	5.96%	8.95%	0.471	0.520
Fe, wt. %	2.26	0.110	2.04	2.48	1.93	2.59	4.85%	9.71%	14.56%	2.15	2.37
Ga, ppm	4.47	0.434	3.60	5.34	3.17	5.77	9.71%	19.43%	29.14%	4.24	4.69
Ge, ppm	0.11	0.02	0.07	0.16	0.04	0.19	21.29%	42.59%	63.88%	0.11	0.12
Hf, ppm	0.91	0.083	0.74	1.07	0.66	1.16	9.09%	18.18%	27.28%	0.86	0.95
Hg, ppm	0.48	0.034	0.42	0.55	0.38	0.59	7.01%	14.02%	21.03%	0.46	0.51
In, ppm	1.43	0.063	1.30	1.55	1.24	1.62	4.44%	8.89%	13.33%	1.36	1.50
K, wt. %	0.182	0.012	0.158	0.206	0.146	0.218	6.59%	13.19%	19.78%	0.173	0.191
La, ppm	14.4	0.85	12.7	16.1	11.8	16.9	5.91%	11.81%	17.72%	13.7	15.1
Li, ppm	6.04	0.61	4.83	7.26	4.22	7.87	10.07%	20.13%	30.20%	5.74	6.35
Mg, ppm	340	50	239	441	189	492	14.81%	29.63%	44.44%	323	357
Mn, ppm	163	8	147	179	139	186	4.82%	9.64%	14.46%	155	171
Mo, ppm	7.11	0.348	6.42	7.81	6.07	8.16	4.90%	9.79%	14.69%	6.76	7.47
Na, wt. %	0.052	0.008	0.035	0.068	0.027	0.077	16.03%	32.06%	48.08%	0.049	0.054
Ni, ppm	14.1	0.94	12.3	16.0	11.3	17.0	6.68%	13.35%	20.03%	13.4	14.9
P, ppm	139	8	124	154	116	162	5.46%	10.92%	16.38%	132	146
Pb, ppm	378	21	336	420	314	441	5.58%	11.16%	16.74%	359	397
Rb, ppm	8.65	0.614	7.42	9.87	6.80	10.49	7.10%	14.20%	21.30%	8.21	9.08
S, wt. %	1.56	0.081	1.40	1.72	1.32	1.81	5.21%	10.42%	15.64%	1.48	1.64
Sb, ppm	86	9	68	104	59	113	10.53%	21.06%	31.59%	82	90
Sc, ppm	0.93	0.10	0.74	1.13	0.64	1.23	10.47%	20.93%	31.40%	0.89	0.98
Se, ppm	23.6	1.82	20.0	27.3	18.2	29.1	7.72%	15.44%	23.16%	22.4	24.8
Sn, ppm	6.98	0.240	6.50	7.46	6.26	7.70	3.43%	6.86%	10.29%	6.63	7.33
Sr, ppm	33.9	2.93	28.1	39.8	25.1	42.7	8.63%	17.27%	25.90%	32.2	35.6
Te, ppm	35.5	1.51	32.4	38.5	30.9	40.0	4.27%	8.54%	12.81%	33.7	37.2
Th, ppm	5.15	0.64	3.86	6.44	3.22	7.08	12.51%	25.03%	37.54%	4.89	5.41
Ti, ppm	163	16	130	196	114	212	10.10%	20.21%	30.31%	155	171
Tl, ppm	1.89	0.149	1.59	2.19	1.44	2.34	7.88%	15.77%	23.65%	1.80	1.99
U, ppm	1.72	0.097	1.52	1.91	1.43	2.01	5.63%	11.26%	16.90%	1.63	1.80
V, ppm	5.01	0.57	3.87	6.15	3.30	6.72	11.37%	22.74%	34.11%	4.76	5.26

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt. % \equiv 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

Table 5 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
Aqua Regia Digestion continued											
W, ppm	5.82	0.543	4.74	6.91	4.19	7.45	9.33%	18.66%	28.00%	5.53	6.11
Y, ppm	4.00	0.303	3.40	4.61	3.09	4.91	7.58%	15.16%	22.74%	3.80	4.20
Yb, ppm	0.17	0.03	0.12	0.23	0.09	0.26	16.31%	32.61%	48.92%	0.17	0.18
Zn, ppm	722	29	664	779	635	808	3.99%	7.98%	11.97%	686	758
Zr, ppm	30.8	5.9	19.0	42.7	13.1	48.6	19.20%	38.40%	57.61%	29.3	32.4

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt.% \equiv 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES

1. AGAT Laboratories, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada
2. Alex Stewart International, Mendoza, Argentina
3. ALS, Johannesburg, South Africa
4. ALS, Lima, Peru
5. ALS, Loughrea, Galway, Ireland
6. ALS, Perth, WA, Australia
7. ALS, Reno, Nevada, USA
8. ALS, Santiago, Santiago Metropolitan Region, Chile
9. ALS, Vancouver, BC, Canada
10. American Assay Laboratories, Sparks, Nevada, USA
11. ANSTO, Lucas Heights, NSW, Australia
12. ARGETEST Mineral Processing, Ankara, Central Anatolia, Turkey
13. Bureau Veritas Commodities Canada Ltd, Vancouver, BC, Canada
14. Bureau Veritas Geoanalytical, Perth, WA, Australia
15. Bureau Veritas Minerals, Hermosillo, Sonora, Mexico
16. Inspectorate (BV), Lima, Peru
17. Intertek Genalysis, Perth, WA, Australia
18. Intertek Testing Services, Townsville, QLD, Australia
19. Intertek Testing Services Philippines, Cupang, Muntinlupa, Philippines
20. PT Geoservices Ltd, Cikarang, Jakarta Raya, Indonesia
21. PT Intertek Utama Services, Jakarta Timur, DKI Jakarta, Indonesia
22. PT SGS Indo Assay Laboratories, Jakarta, Indonesia
23. SGS, Ankara, Anatolia, Turkey
24. SGS de Mexico SA de CV, Cd. Industrial, Durango, Mexico
25. SGS del Peru, Lima, Peru
26. SGS Lakefield Research Ltd, Lakefield, Ontario, Canada
27. Shiva Analyticals Ltd, Bangalore North, Karnataka, India

Please note: Above numbered alphabetical list of participating laboratories does not reflect the Lab ID numbering on the scatter plots below.

Figure 1. Au by Fire Assay in OREAS 602b

SPC.1399.OREAS600b Mt Carlton.OREAS 602b.4.Fire Assay.Au.Lab.190219.144039.SN




Figure 2. Ag by 4-Acid in OREAS 602b

SPC.1399.OREAS600b Mt Carlton.OREAS 602b.4.4-Acid.Ag.Lab.190219.143913.SN





Figure 3. Cu by 4-Acid in OREAS 602b

SPC.1399.OREAS600b Mt Carlton.OREAS 602b.4.4-Acid.Cu.Lab.190219.144000.SS



PREPARER AND SUPPLIER

Certified reference material OREAS 602b was prepared, certified and supplied by:



ORE Research & Exploration Pty Ltd
37A Hosie Street
Bayswater North VIC 3153
AUSTRALIA

Tel: +613-9729 0333
Fax: +613-9729 8338
Web: www.ore.com.au
Email: info@ore.com.au

It is packaged in 10g and 60g units sealed under nitrogen in laminated foil pouches.

METROLOGICAL TRACEABILITY

The analytical samples were selected in a manner to represent the entire batch of prepared CRM. This 'representivity' was maintained in each submitted laboratory sample batch and ensures the user that the data is traceable from sample selection through to the analytical results that underlie the consensus values. Each analytical data set has been validated by its assayer through the inclusion of internal reference materials and QC checks during analysis.

The laboratories were chosen on the basis of their competence (from past performance in inter-laboratory programs undertaken by ORE Pty Ltd) for a particular analytical method, analyte or analyte suite, and sample matrix. Most of these laboratories have and maintain ISO 17025 accreditation. The certified values presented in this report are calculated from the means of accepted data following robust statistical treatment as detailed in this report.

Guide ISO/TR 16476:2016, section 5.3.1 describes metrological traceability in reference materials as it pertains to the transformation of the measurand. In this section it states, *"Although the determination of the property value itself can be made traceable to appropriate units through, for example, calibration of the measurement equipment used, steps like the transformation of the sample from one physical (chemical) state to another cannot. Such transformations may only be compared with a reference (when available), or among themselves. For some transformations, reference methods have been defined and may be used in certification projects to evaluate the uncertainty associated with such a transformation. In other cases, **only a comparison among different laboratories using the same method is possible. In this case, certification takes place on the basis of agreement among independent measurement results** (see ISO Guide 35:2006, Clause 10)."*

COMMUTABILITY

The measurements of the results that underlie the certified values contained in this report were undertaken by methods involving pre-treatment (digestion/fusion) of the sample. This served to reduce the sample to a simple and well understood form permitting calibration using simple solutions of the CRM. Due to these methods being well understood and highly effective, commutability is not an issue for this CRM. All OREAS CRMs are sourced from natural ore minerals meaning they will display similar behaviour as routine 'field' samples in the relevant measurement process. Care should be taken to ensure 'matrix matching' as close as practically achievable. The matrix and mineralisation style of the CRM is described in the 'Source Material' section and users should select appropriate CRMs matching these attributes to their field samples.

INTENDED USE

OREAS 602b is intended to cover all activities needed to produce a measurement result. This includes extraction, possible separation steps and the actual measurement process (the signal producing step). OREAS 602b may be used to calibrate the entire procedure by producing a pure substance CRM transformed into a calibration solution.

OREAS 602b is intended for the following uses:

- For the monitoring of laboratory performance in the analysis of analytes reported in Table 1 in geological samples;
- For the verification of analytical methods for analytes reported in Table 1;
- For the calibration of instruments used in the determination of the concentration of analytes reported in Table 1.

STABILITY AND STORAGE INSTRUCTIONS

OREAS 602b has been prepared from sulphide bearing ores and concentrates blended with rhyodacite. It contains reactive sulphide (~2.15% S) and has been packaged under nitrogen in single use laminated foil pouches. In its unopened state and under normal conditions of storage the CRM has a shelf life beyond ten years. Its stability will be monitored at regular intervals and purchasers notified if any changes are observed.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR CORRECT USE

The certified values for OREAS 602b refer to the concentration level in its packaged state. It should not be dried prior to weighing and analysis.

HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS

Fine powders pose a risk to eyes and lungs and therefore standard precautions such as the use of safety glasses and dust masks are advised.

LEGAL NOTICE

Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd has prepared and statistically evaluated the property values of this reference material to the best of its ability. The Purchaser by receipt hereof releases and indemnifies Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd from and against all liability and costs arising from the use of this material and information.

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Revision No.	Date	Changes applied
0	21 st February 2019	First publication.

QMS ACCREDITED

ORE Pty Ltd is accredited to ISO 9001:2015 by Lloyd's Register Quality Assurance Ltd for its quality management system including development, manufacturing, certification and supply of CRMs.



CERTIFYING OFFICER

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. Hamlyn'.

21st February, 2019

Craig Hamlyn (B.Sc. Hons - Geology), Technical Manager - ORE P/L

REFERENCES

Govett, G.J.S. (1983), ed. Handbook of Exploration Geochemistry, Volume 2: Statistics and Data Analysis in Geochemical Prospecting (Variations of accuracy and precision), P.O. Box 330, 1000 AH Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Ingamells, C. O. and Switzer, P. (1973), Talanta 20, 547-568.

ISO Guide 30:2015, Terms and definitions used in connection with reference materials.

ISO Guide 31:2015, Reference materials – Contents of certificates and labels.

ISO Guide 35:2017, Certification of reference materials - General and statistical principals.

ISO 16269:2014, Statistical interpretation of data – Part 6: Determination of statistical tolerance intervals.

Munsell Rock Color Book (2014), Rock-Color Chart Committee, Geological Society of America (GSA), 4300 44th Street SE, Grand Rapids, MI 49512.